Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/644,488	GREENWOOD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>being allowed</u>		
(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.	(3)	
(2) <u>Bob Morris</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1,22		
Prior art documents discussed: Shimata		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
1/		
Murhal		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since independent claims 1 and 22 are in condition for allowance, examiner called to see if applicant wants claims dependent on claim 1 to be added as dependent on claim 22, as examiner noted with appreciation the professionalism and assitance, including providing relevant references, that applicant has displayed throughout the prosecution.

Applicant and examiner agreed to add dependent claims to claim 22 as attached. .